Observations from Day 1

• Breakout groups identified ~80 priority landscapes:
  – 26 ....... Group 1
    • *Included attention to opportunities for cross LCC collaboration*
  – 14 ....... Group 2
    • *Included many discussions of energy development impacts*
  – 11 ....... Group 3
    • *Major focus on transboundary*
  – 27 ....... Group 4
    • *Major focus largely associated with transportation corridors*
Example combined Connectivity Priorities from Groups 1 & 3:

- Shows Transboundary focus
- Qualitative review of all groups maps shows high agreement
Group 4
Priority landscapes identified in each data region:

- Transboundary predominates
- Even split among other
- Consider priorities relative to data richness

What’s needed data or action?
Common Observations Across Groups

*(a start on strategy discussion)*

- Improved collaborations will be part of almost any strategy; included here is we need to engage missing Partners
- Funding / capacity and allocation of funds to connectivity is a major issue
- Finer scale matters even considering large scale connectivity
- Barrier : Changing institutional culture = hard
- Opportunity : incorporating connectivity into existing initiatives
Day 2 Process Flow

Focus! (John)

Manager’s Charge (Ian)

Strategies & Actions I — SHORT-term
- Teaming up—who can do what soon?

Case Studies (Jen, Michael W)

Strategies & Actions II — LONG-term
- Teaming up—what needs to be done over the long haul?

Strategies & Actions III — Outcome: A connectivity work plan for each priority landscape connection
- Refine short- & long-term strategies at GNLCC-wide scale & priority sub-regions.
- Recommendations for action & draft work plans.

Report Out